Linn-Benton Communit College

Degree Qualifications Profile Year 1 Work Plan Progress Report

April 29 2013

Table of Contents

| Summary of Institutional Characteristics3 | | | | |
|---|--------------|----------|------------|---|
| Statement of Year | DQP Work Pla | Goals an | Objectives | 4 |
| Progress to Date | | | | 5 |
| Reflections | | | | 7 |
| Lumina Grant Deliverables8 | | | | |
| Concluding Thoughts | | | | 9 |

Summary of Institutional Characteristics

Linn-Benton Community College is located in Albany, Oregon in the heart of the Willamette Valley. I serves about 22,000 student per year a our main campus an three branch campuses in Corvallis, Lebanon, and Sweet Home. We have about 6,500 credi students and nearly 50 faculty.

Ou Mission: T engage in an education that allows all o our students t participate in, benefit from, and contribute to the cultural richness and economic vitality o our community.

Ou Core Themes: Educational Attainment, Cultural Richness, and Economic Vitality

Student Demographics:

- 57% female
- 88% Caucasian
- 60% aged 18-25; 30% aged 26-45
- About 33% of our degree-seeking students are in career-technical programs

Facts Relevant t DQP

- We have a strong and ever-improving relationship with Oregon State University, which is located about 10 miles to the west of our main campus. About 70% of our students who successfully transfer go to OSU.
- We have a Degree Partnership Program with OSU that dually-enrolled 2,277 students last vear.
- We are a Year 1 Achieving the Dream (AtD) school, and completed Foundations of Excellence (FoE) last year.

Statement of Year 1 DQP Work Plan Goals and Objectives

Institutional Alignment

- A) Assemble a team of faculty, staff, and administrators who are interested in student learning outcomes.
- B) Begin discussions on mapping current outcomes to the DQP in General Education courses, beginning with Arts & Letters.

Vertical Alignment with OSU

- C) Align the AAO and A degree learning objective with th OSU Baccalaureate Core Category Learning Outcomes for Math, Writing, and Speech.
- D) Develop preliminary outlines for assessment processes t facilitate sharing dat o student learning inter-institutionally.

Progress to Date

a. Describe your progress toward achievement of your Year 1 DQP goals an objectives. We have successfully formed our initial team: myself, Jonathan Paver (our Dean of Instruction), an th General Education Team (Dan Emerson [Communication faculty], James Reddan [Music faculty], Diana Wheat [Biology faculty], and Li Pearce [Education faculty]). We had planned to begin by mapping Arts & Letters general education outcomes as they currently exist to the DQP. A the same time, we are embarking on project related t Achieving the Dream that involve re-examining whether th courses o our General

Education list are truly "gen ed" in spirit. We have spent time on that, and have made

progress, bu have not yet done the mapping to the DQP.

I terms of our vertical alignment work with OSU, Bill Bogley and I met an did basic alignment between LBCC's AAO and A degree learning objective and the OSU Baccalaureate Core Category Learning Outcomes for Math, Writing, and Speech. Our outcomes are not as closely aligned in the three areas than we have started with (Writing, Math, and Communication), which we found to be interesting in itself, and reflect different philosophies on the role of these core areas. For example, the outcomes for Writing at OSU are much more focused on mastering the correct mechanics of writing. A LBCC, the focus is more on understanding audience, and communicating effectively.

We decided that the place to begin faculty-level discussions o what we found was in speech. Dan Emerson (faculty, LBCC) and Judy Bowker (OSU) hav met to begin tha work. Some things tha are being discussed include using common assessment methods across institutions s that we can compare students outcomes.

b. Describe unanticipate opportunities and challenges, if any, you encountered in implementing you Year 1 DQP Work Plan.

The timing of Year—for the DQP coincided with several very disruptive events on campus. Our college had to make deep budget cuts during the late winter, and at the same time announced—college reorganization. These events drew—lot of the attention and energy of both faculty and administrators, which made progress on the DQ—difficult. Specifically, we had to take away the overload palience where has planne—for faculty the work on outcomes assessment (and DQP). Partially in response to these events, the college recently approved change in our AA—degrees to reduce the required general education credits from 18 to 9, with the possibility that even those 9 credits can be embedded within existing classes. This had enormous implications for the DQP work (althoug—we had not planne—the begin with AA—degrees).

A the same time, we have embarked on Year 1 of Achieving the Dream, which is fairly intensive commitment. Thankfully, th DQ work is in alignment with one of the three projects chosen for our Achieving the Dream initiatives. This is project to improve how we schedule classes to allow students access to the classes they need when they need them. I order t d that requires a ver clos look at how our curricula are structured and how we use prerequisites. These discussions are very closely related to the types of discussions expec we will hav with DQP, in terms of thinking about in which courses and at what point in each curriculum various skills and knowledge i built.

c. Describe insight an lessons learned i any from your work to date with th DQP. The most exciting work related to the DQP's far has been our vertical alignment work with OSU. Our curriculum offices/committees did not have a strong connection prior t the outset o thi project, and now we are i regular communication with their office of assessment. We have identified several ways in which we hope to strengthen the alignment between our curricula (such as using common outcome assessment methods so that we can compare how well students are meeting thes outcomes). A pleasant sid effec of thi has been the realization that, in some areas, our part-time faculty overlap significantly between institutions. Getting part-time faculty "o board" with outcomes assessment is always a challenge, especially i terms o incorporating targeted assignments or othe assessment tied directly to outcomes into their classes. Part of the problem with that for part-time faculty i that they get asked to d this in different ways by the various institutions that employ them. I we can align our assessments (eve i our outcomes are no perfectly aligned), we have much better chance of compliance boour part-time faculty. We also hav th opportunity t us common resources more effectively. Judy Bowker (OSU faculty), fo example, was given a grant to help bring part-time faculty in communication better into the assessment process. By sharing notes an methods with our LBCC communications faculty, we have essentially benefited from the same grant.

d. Describe adjustments, i any made to current or futur work plan resulting from those insights an lessons learned.

We are just now starting to fin out how the conversations between departments will unfold. We think that there are lots of efficiency gains that we could realize, since assessment efforts take large fixed cost of coordinating and developing. However, we do no plate force any plate of action on faculty a either school (even supposing that we could!). Think being able to share the efficiencies, interestine lack or alignment, and other insights that we've gained to date will help build enthusiasm for the alignment work coming up. It terms of institutional alignment to the DQP, we are too early in the process to have hat many useful insights.

Reflections

- a. What influence, if any, has your work with the DQP had on degree or program outcomes?
- b. What influence, if any, has your work with th DQP had on teaching and learning?
- c. What influence, if any, has your work with the DQP had on assessment of student achievement?

It's probably best t answer these al together. So far, the DQP as a instrument ha had very little influence o outcomes or assessment. However, th DQP a *proces* of thinking about institutional, horizontal, and vertical alignment has been helpful. We have begun, as described above, a systematic review our transfer curricula at th same time that all AAS programs are deciding how to structure their programs in light of the changes to the general education requirements. S next fall will be a goo time to ge going with th DQP work. In terms of our vertical work with OSU, I anticipate that there will in fact be an influence on both program outcomes and assessment o student achievement, but we aren't there ye in Year 1.

d. What assistance would you lik to receive to achieve of your Work Plan objectives?

Time an money are, of course, our biggest constraints. The honorarium we received for participating in the DQ will be used to fund one 3-credit release for a single faculty member next year, plus some supplies for meetings to work on this. That is helpful, but it will not be enough to effect the scale or change that believe Lumina in hoping for In different budget times, we could subsidize the effort within our institution more, but that will not be possible for (probably) the duration of the project. However, the work that Lane had on of the spiderweb software is helpful.

e. What recommendations do you have to improve the DQP as a framework for practice?

Non yet will know more when we are further alon in the work.

Lumina Grant Deliverables

a. Lis degrees programs, or learning outcomes currently unde review or planne for review a part o the DQP project.

Th general education programs/learning outcomes for th Associate of Science and Associate of Arts, Oregon Transfer degrees are currently under review as part o the DQP project. Other transfer degree programs (in Music and Communication) will be under review this year.

b. Describe curren or planne engagement of facult i th DQP project.

There are currently five faculty engage in the initial stages o this project. Two are very actively engaged, and the other three are learning about the DQP. We also have newly-formed Curriculum Group that will be engaging with the DQP project in the coming years. This group has about 2 faculty from transfer programs within th college.

- c. Describe the use, if any of spide web maps i curren or planne DQP work. The spider web map concept is extremely useful. Our General Education team actuall began using the DQP spiderweb concept for curricula in summer of 2012 prior to Oregon's receipt of the grant. However, we have begun by using the concept to map our programs in terms of our existing student learning outcomes. We hope to the map our outcomes to the DQP. This use of the mapping, while in some respects backwards, makes the project a little more salient to faculty, who otherwise tend to consider the DQP yet another layer of assessment work.
- d. Describe curren or planne involvement, i any by student and advisory committees i th DQP work.

Because we are not working on AAS degrees at this time (for personnel, rather than philosophical, reasons), we do not plan on involving advisory committees. Student involvement is planned fo coming years o the project, as a "reality check" of how the outcomes are actually perceived to be met in various courses throughout the program curricula.

Concluding Thoughts

A extremely difficult year has prevented us from making the progress that we'd hoped to, both with DQP and with our curriculum project. DQP has not been shared as widely with the faculty as intended, fo the reason that it seemed politically inexpedient to announce another initiative when we were adding work and cutting faculty and staff. However, we have made a quiet but measurable beginning, and hope to go on in much the same way. I am not yet sure what the value of the DQP outcomes will be, but as a process and a way o thinking about how our institution fits with others in the state, i especially important.